

Will's report

- 6 dissertations, each read by two people. Maybe revisit process? Laura and Diane Watt co-winners
- not ready to report on Antonio's side.
- recommendation to revisit reviewing process
- why do we give this award? Recognition for graduate students? Award competition for range of diverse works and grounds for comparing them?
- Diane Watt won previous award in Canadian SIG
- start discussion about awards? Dissertation fellowship? Match with mission? Presentation and workshop?
- next dissertation award in two years
- is award key word? Dissertation fellowship award? Share work in a meaningful way

17 grad students asked for support, still have to register

- 3 nights lodging
- used 4 free room nights as part of this
- \$2300 on rooms, budget \$3300
- do we want to require full registration for conference support?

Finance-Jim

- \$27000 start on Jan. 12, 12
- owed Akron \$1875
- in the black this year
- cover two lunches and coffee every morning and money for welcome reception
- 115 full paying attendees. Budget for 100 attendees
- tracking rethinking schools ad

Publications-Morna

- no proposals for edited book teams
- table at lunch for those interested in editing book
- proposal for future editing teams for journal
- books print on demand. If people late register, we can mail it to

them

- follow up with George by phone and get on writing

Jenny

- transition from google doc to ScholarOne

- completely switched over to ScholarOne

- figure out how to work out process of editorial board. A third rotates off every year. Three year rotations.

- Committed to mission of Browning Caucus in regards to accepted manuscripts

- Will to step into role of co-editor

- Will has written proposal

- Council voted to approve

- in one year, will be asked for two more

Registrar

- 170 registered

- 65% new people

- Why aren't people coming back?

- Cole to generate list of those who attended once

- hard to create democratic space with large turnover each year

Membership-Cole

- update of list

- difficulty treating it like committee and not Cole project

- membership list dramatically changes

- emails from some on list about no more emails

- not using Facebook much. Only when shows up in feed

Program-Deb

182 proposals

155 accepted

Treasurer Report

- in the black this year
- In red previously because of publishing contract.

Registrar Report

- 170 members
- 60% are first-time

Publications

- recognition of last year's editing team
- proposals for next year's book team wanted
- move from IAP to Taylor and Francis
- submit to journal
- Jim Sears Award
 - Bonnie Wozilik

Membership

- maintains records
- online on Facebook
- website makeover

Fellowship

- Dissertation Award
- co-awardees this year,
 - Diane Watts
 - Laura Rychley
- graduate student support
 - support 17 students with lodging
- book sales also help support graduate students
- mentoring
 - 76 requested mentoring

Legal/Archives

- by laws changes passed with no discussion

Nominations

- self nominations welcome
- Due Friday morning

Program Report

- 182 proposals
- 155 made program
- rolling deadline
- change in program structure. Fewer round tables, more papers per session

Open Comments

- no comments

David, Laura, patti, Elizabeth, luz, ludvik new members
Laura, deb, Zara, Jennie, Erik, Jim outgoing
12 walk ins

Town Hall debrief

Will sense history was meaningful to those who returned. No discussion of last year was confusing to people
Laura too long? People left
Erik too short? Brought up challenges in own lives
Bring it up and shut it down without time.
Space in browning caucus to continue discussion?
Will-work of membership yo continue CCP and discussions
People leaving don't want this conversation?
James-more people got the chance to respond
Exit survey...did you stay the entire time? Why not?
People felt they didn't have something to offer because they haven't attended recently
Kris-white space?
Myriam-cumbersome to be reminded of minority status and reminder of place in society. Don't need language brought to her level
Small tables good. Wanted to have more spaces to continue them
Urgency and ways topics are tackled felt different
How to institutionalize it was part of the problem

Council Debrief

Is membership getting younger?
Participate via Skype?
Site is right. Hotel and city
Affirmation of three years
High quality presentations
Tension in balance of having entertainment or just having people

Go out?

Acknowledge we had field trips in place and not saying nothing happened

Add as a piece to program? Community pieces and connections as sessions

Round tables well attended. Rooms forgotten

Maps? Signage?

More present in public spaces

Cole felt less a part of planning this conference than in the past

Better wording around registration, field trips, collective sharing about why we do round tables

Deb- monthly online meeting? Committee chairs get together monthly online?

Big blue button

Where are we going next and what are we doing?

Stay in New Orleans and site for next year

Publications

Few proposals for book

First time we don't have editors to vote on

Proposals for next year

Refunds

Official policy is no refunds. Can apply it to next year

Committee final report. What happened this year? Numbers/figures

Put on wiki and send to Jim.

Future of democracy and equity committee

Increase our face time?

Communication

If no feedback, felt like it wasn't important. People need to be m

More involved